Best Available Copy PATENT 450100-03548

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of the application are respectfully requested in view of the amendments and remarks herewith. The present After-Final Amendment is being made to facilitate prosecution of the application and does not require further search.

I. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS AND FORMAL MATTERS

Claims 1-25 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 6, 12, 17, 23, 24 and 25, which are independent, are hereby amended. It is submitted that these claims, as originally presented, were in full compliance with the requirements 35 U.S.C. §112. Support for this amendment is provided throughout the Specification as originally filed. No new matter has been introduced by this amendment. Changes to claims are not made for the purpose of patentability within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §101, §102, §103, or §112. Rather, these changes are made simply for clarification and to round out the scope of protection to which the Applicants are entitled.

II. REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §102(b)

Claims 1-3, 6-8, 11-14, 17-19 and 22-25 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as allegedly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,805,799 to Fredrickson et al.

Independent claim 1 recites, inter alia:

"...wherein said memory interface unit includes a cryptosystem unit that generates an integrity check value based on actual data to be stored in the actual data part in response to a data-writing command from said control unit to said data storage means,

-14-

Best Available Copy

PATENT 450100-03548

and stores said integrity check value in the redundant part, and wherein said integrity check value is a value that prevents interpolation of a block permission table." (emphasis added)

As understood by Applicants, U.S. Patent No. 5,805,799 to Fredrickson et al. relates to a data integrity code including logical block address ("LBA") and circuit implementation. The code and implementing circuitry are utilized to enable data block LBA verification during a block transfer and retrieval process. The data integrity code has embedded LBA information and also serves as a crosscheck code used to detect miscorrection by an error correction code ("ECC"). Data integrity/cross-check redundancy with LBA is appended to data blocks transmitted to a buffer memory and verified after the data block has been transferred from the buffer. (See Abstract)

Applicants submit that nothing has been found in U.S. Patent No. 5,805,799 to Fredrickson et al. (hereinafter, merely "Fredrickson") that would disclose or suggest the above-identified features of claim 1.

Specifically, Applicants submit that Fredrickson does not disclose or suggest that the integrity check value is a value that prevents interpolation of a block permission table, as recited in claim 1. Therefore, Applicants submit that claim 1 is patentable.

Independent claims 6, 12, 17, 23, 24 and 25 are similar in scope and believed to be patentable for similar reasons.

Best Available Copy

PATENT 450100-03548

III. REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 4, 5, 9, 10, 15, 16, 20 and 21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,805,799 to Fredrickson, et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,252,961 to Hogan.

Claims 4, 5, 9, 10, 15, 16, 20 and 21 depend on one of the independent claims discussed above and are therefore believed to be patentable for at least the reasons provided in relation to the related independent claim.

As understood by Applicants, U.S. Patent No. 6,252,961 to Hogan relates to a drive such as a DVD-ROM drive that encrypts an error code correction (ECC) block in a manner that retains the error correction capabilities of the ECC block. The encryption is performed by generating an encryption mask including a plurality of random numbers and redundancy data.

Applicants submit that nothing has been found in the cited portions of U.S. Patent No. 6,252,961 to Hogan that would provide the disclosure lacking in Fredrickson.

IV. DEPENDENT CLAIMS

The other claims in this application are each dependent on a dependent claim discussed above, and are therefore believed patentable for at least the same reasons. Since each dependent claim is also deemed to define an additional aspect of the invention, however, the individual reconsideration of the patentability of each on its own merits is respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

In the event the Examiner disagrees with any of statements appearing above with respect to the disclosures in the cited references, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner

Best Available Copy

PATENT 450100-03548

specifically indicate those portions of the reference, or references, providing the basis for a contrary view.

Applicants submit that this After-Final Amendment does not require further search and that all of the claims are in condition for allowance. Applicants respectfully request entry of this After-Final Amendment and early passage to issue of the present application.

Please charge any additional fees that may be needed, and credit any overpayment, to our Deposit Account No. 50-0320

Respectfully submitted,
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP
Attorneys for Applicants

 $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{v}$

Thomas F. Presson Reg. No. 41,442 (212) 588-0800

-17-